

### REMARKS

Applicant cancels claims 3, 4, 12, and 13, amends claims 1, 5, 10, and 14, and adds claim 19 such that claims 1, 2, 5-11, 14-19 are pending in this application.


Applicant initially notes with appreciation that the Examiner has identified allowable subject matter in claims 4-9 and 13-18. Objected-to claim 4 has been substantially rewritten in independent form as amended independent claim 1, and objected-to claim 13 has been substantially rewritten in independent form as amended independent claim 10. The recitation of the "bushing" limitation has been removed from amended independent claims 1 and 10 because Applicant believes that the "bushing" limitation is not necessary to define over the cited references. In addition, Applicant addresses the Examiner's §112 rejection by replacing the "moves linearly" language with "is linearly movable", thus providing a structural basis as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, amended independent claims 1 and 10 are allowable. Claims 2 and 5-9 depend from allowable independent claim 1 and claims 11 and 14-18 depend from allowable independent claim 10. The dependent claims are therefore also allowable for the same and other reasons.

The cited prior art, alone or in combination, does not teach or suggest the subject matter defined by new independent claim 19. Accordingly, independent claim 19 is allowable.

Applicant respectfully requests allowance of all the pending claims.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney should the Examiner determine that such action would facilitate the prosecution and allowance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,



David B. Smith  
Reg. No. 27,595

Docket No.: 92229-9037  
Michael Best & Friedrich LLP  
100 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108

(414) 271-6560

X:\clientb\092229\9037\F0050188.1